G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

File No. 76-11

1911-12

(mar)

Serial Nos. 163

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 163

Part B for October 1911

SUBJECT.

Taking over under Govt Management the Jangamma mutt known as Mal Mall Situated in the Chikapete, Bangalore

---

Serial No 1. From The Dy Comm Bangalore No 7, dated 22 7 1911

Recommending that Jangamamutt in the Bangalore Dly, may be brought under Govt management

Serial No 2. From Yajamana Basappa Gothersip Bangalore Fe D 14.8.1911

Protesting against the recommendation of the

Serial No. 3. To GPB—5,000—22 10 16 the Dy Comm Bangalore No 831 Dt 16.10.1911

Stating that Govt Cannot summarily dispossess the Mutt & requesting the Dy Comm to give directions to install a proper man as the Archaka

Copy to Yajamana Basappa Gothersip No 832 D 16.10

G.R.S. 11]

1911-12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inwards)

NOTES.

File No. 76 / 1911-12

FILE HEADING

Taking over under Govt. management the Jangam Mutt known as Mahantana Mutt situated in Chikapetta, Bangalore City.

From

The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore Dist.

No. 7. Dated 22.7.11 Received 25.7.1911

Diary No. 149 | Enclos. 1 file | Spare Copies. — | Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends that the Jangam Mutt known as Mahantana Mutt in the Bangalore City be brought under Government management.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Mahantana Mutt is situated in the center of Chikkapetta, and consists of one main building, 3 shops, 1 coach godown, five wood godown, one house and

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—10,000 2/6/11

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 76 of 1911

Serial No.

and two rooms all on the road side, also has a kandayam land Survey no 60 measuring 3 acres and 3 guntas assessed at Rs 25/- in the Hanumanthapura village, Bangalore Taluk.

One Kempunanja Devaru was the Swamigalu of the Mate who as Manager was attending to puja, Deeparadhane and the feeding of Ayuntakas out of the rents realised from the properties belonging to the Mate. Kempunnajadevaru was succeeded by one Swarnadevaru and after his death one Veerabhadra Devaru became the Guru As both Swarnadra Devaru and Veerabhadra Devaru were minors the affairs of the Mate ~~was~~ were looked after by Sambanniah, the father of Swarnadra devaru. After the death of Veerabhadra Devaru & his guardian

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPS—50 000—12-8-10

Serial No.

the Matt has been without a guru for the last 10 or 12 years.

The disciples of the Matt complained to the Amildar that during the minority of the two successive gurus the guardian Santaveeriah along with the Sastry and Gajapaiah have alienated the properties belonging to the Matt, discontinued the puja and have brought the Matt to a state of dilapidation, and prayed that the Matt and its properties be taken under Government management.

During enquiry it was ascertained that the three shops and the house are in the enjoyment of Hidayi Lakshamiah, while the others viz coach godown fuel godown and the garden land are in the possession of Messers Veerabhadrappa, Gubbi Thotadappa's representatives and Jagaraw; and that the other buildings have been occupied by some strangers unconnected with the Matt.

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8 10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 76 of 1911

Serial No.

In connection with the shops and the house Mutaji Lakshamiah states that the late Kempahampa devaru leased out to him permanently for a monthly rent of Rs 9, that he was paying the rent to the said Kempanampa devaru and after him to his successors, that Santaveriah has received an advance of Rs 350/= on account of rent and this together with certain sums advanced to Settis and Gajamans comes to Rs 797-7-2 as due to him from the Math, that after this is adjusted he will continue to pay the rent to the Pattada Swami or to Govt on behalf of the Math.

The bond executed by Lakshamiah in favour of Santaveriah guardian of Swarnadra Devaru is dated 8th May 1884 @

From this it becomes clear that Lakshamiah has agreed to recover the advance of Rs 350/=

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50 000— 12 8 10

made to Santaveriah according to the said bond by monthly deductions of Rs 3-8-0 towards the interest and the balance viz 7-8-0 towards the advance. He has also agreed that when the sum reaches Rs 100/- he would reduce the interest. The said advance ~~had~~ ought to have been cleared ~~by~~ in 4 years from the date of the bond. Lakshamiah has not produced any other documents for having paid similar advances according to his statement. Since the date ~~when~~ without a grant for the last 10 or 12 years it is not known whether any rent has been paid by Lakshamiah and if so to whom. On the other hand Lakshamiah claims Rs 797-7-2 as still due to him from the state upto 16. 11. 1909.

The holders of other properties are willing to give away to the state on condition of Government taking up the management.

Veerabhadrasetty and

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

and Yajaman Basappa have deposed before the Amildar that the Matt being a non sectarian institution the Govt have no right to step in and assume the management, that the management rested with them ever since the Matt came into existence, that they have not misappropriated any sum belonging to the Matt, that they have not maintained any accounts of the income and expenditure, that some strangers have occupied the premises without their knowledge, that if they are allowed time they will install a guru and set matters right.

The Deputy Commissioner reports that the endowments which were made by some charitably disposed persons have been mismanaged and even misappropriated by private individuals, that the properties do not belong

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 76 of 1911

Serial No.

to any one person but to the community, that the state is now without a duly installed guru or manager, that the Sathy and Yajamans who by virtue of their position in society were probably by sufferance managing or supervising the affairs of the Matt do not evidently commend at present the respect and confidence of the rest of their co-religionists having allowed the state affairs to be mismanaged and brought to its present unsatisfactory condition, and as large number of persons of the Sivachar community are in favour of the Matt and its properties being taken under Govt management, he proposes that in pursuance of

	(1) No. 3233-42 R 544	of	26	9	79	the marginally
Flag G	(2) " R 53	of	11	7	85	noted Govt-
Flag D	(3) " 1719-27	of	24	2	03	Proceedings
Flag B	(4) " 3386-95	of	28	4	04	the Matt and
Flag A	(5) " 906	of	28	8	08	its properties may be taken under

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Serial No.

Govt management and there vested in a Committee of 6 or 8 respectable persons of the community including the Patty and Yajaman with powers to manage the affairs under the general supervision of the Amildar.

One Gangamma widow of Talakunte Sanigiah of Bangalore has petitioned to the Amildar that her husband had built two decent rooms for the benifit of the travellers, constructed a wall and has also laid out a flower garden all in the said premises at a cost of Rs 2500/- or so, that ever since the demise of the Swami the rooms have been occupied by trouble-some people and have damaged the building so as to become unfit for occupation by the bonafide travellers &c and prays that the same be taken under Govt management and perpetuate his memory.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(74) 2 8/11. GPB—50,000—12 8 10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 76 of 1911

Serial No.	
	G.O. Nos 53 & 117-85 and 1719-27 & 24-2-03 enunciate the Principle that Even private non muzrai institutions which are Govt-managed can be interfered with by Govt & Can be brought under Govt ~~management~~ Control in order to secure Efficient management of such institutions, and G.O's Nos 906 & 28-8-08 and 3386-95 & 28-4-04 relate to said instances in which this principle has been applied.
	The Dy Comr reports that the Mahantana matt which has been without a duly installed guru for several years past & whose properties are being misused by the sevaris & pujaris who have been in possession thereof, is an institution which calls for Govt interference on the strength of these orders-
	Orders are solicited as to whether the institution may be ordered to be taken over under Govt management. Ser if you can act on these orders 1879 depend to 2/8/11 2/8/11
7/8/11	Copy of the Prosp of 79 just rec'd 3-8-11
2	Petition D 239 & 14 & 1911 from Yajamana Basappa and others of the Veerasaiva Community of Bangalore protesting

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50 000—12 8 10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 76 of 1911

Serial No.

against the recommendation of the Dy Comrs. regd the management of the Sadavarta Mutha at Chitpet, Bangalore City -

The Petrs state that on the complaint of some irresponsible persons of the Veerasaiva community, the Amildar of the Bangalore Taluk instituted an enquiry regd the affairs of the Mutt, and has recommended that the Mutt and its properties should be taken under Govt management, that the enquiry conducted by the Amildar is all one sided in as much as the opinion of the leading citizens of the community had not been recorded, that the Sadhus and Yajamans under whom the management vested till now have neither mismanaged nor misappropriated either the funds or the property of the institution

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 76 of 1911

Serial No.

that the community is begging to install a guru to the shrine, and pray that the shrine and its properties ~~should~~ may not be taken under Government management, but ~~the same~~ be left in the hands of the Sadhyasad Yajamans as hitherto according to Manool.

Submitted for orders.

M.D.

(79) 16.8.11

The first point to be considered in this case is whether Govt. can assume the management of this trust which though admittedly is not a Mauzoni institution.

The next point is whether, in the event of Govt. not being competent to interfere, it is necessary for Govt. to take any action in supporting the petition in a Civil Court.

It may seem to appear that Govt. cannot interfere. The orders of Govt. are referred to as authorities for Govt. interference. Of these the order of 1879 is evidently not correct. It is issued for the guidance of the Land and Rev. Dept. in connection with the resumption of Lands or

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,00 ›—12 8 10

Serial No.

Can be no authority for Govt assuming the management of a non. Dangerous institution

The order of 1905 is distinguishable. The party agreed to Govt assuming the management.

In this present case also if all agree there can be no objection to management being assumed.

The question is whether Govt can interfere when this institution is intended and exercising its work over to Govt.

C

No doubt there is a petition from the persons like Veeresawmiah, Basavarajappa and others praying for interference; but there are other influential people who are opposed to this.

Para It is not clear to what class of institutions this order of 1885 refers. The original file does not appear to be available; and we are guided on this abstract in this proceeding

Bank

It may be that it refers to Dangerous institutions but which are not directly under Govt management such as the Maiva temples in each village which are endowed with Inam lands.

Or, it may refer to non-dangerous institutions.

If this Dr Case of Mysore is within this may be able

NOTE—Contn sheet.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

MWR File No. 7/6 of 19 11

29 | 12

Serial No.

I send a copy of this for[h] order and also the original Vernacular one, but I am not sure that it is necessary.

The order of 1905 is distinctly an authority for Govt[t] interference in the case of non-hereditary institutions. But when that file is looked into it will be found that this principle so clearly enunciated was not at all carried out even in that case and that Govt[t] left the wrong-doers to the judgment of their discerning public"

P file No. 36 of 1903

The order of 1908 refers to a mosque in Kottambur and its remarks about a non-hereditary institution can only be looked upon as obiter dicta.

Therefore I submit that there is no authority for Govt[t] interference; and if I might venture an opinion it is not necessary to now obtain powers for such interference.

I should now deal with the 2nd point viz, whether Govt[t] ought to take an active part in this action for having the charity restored. There are no special reasons for this. The Commissioner may file suit, necessary permission being given under the Civil Procedure Code

93

Submitted to Hon'ble Mr. Bowring
Lt. Col. Commissioner
Ootacamund
19.8.1911

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,00 1—12 8 10

9:12

7.12.27

SECRET. SECRETARIAT
12 DEC
MUZRAI
8755

Desaulu Siddalingaswamy

(14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 191 .

Serial No

I fear that as the law stands at present, Govt cannot summarily assume possession of the Madha or its property.

As no one has been elected as the Jangama of the Madha, it is at present property without an heir. I am inclined to think that Govt would be justified in recovering possession of it as such. As far as I recollect, the right of Govt to such property (of a religious institution) is not quite certain, as probably if the rules of Hindu Law are applied, it may have to go to a similar religious institution.

However we need not & I think we should not be solicitous of enforcing the rights of Govt to this property. The Petitioners may be advised to resort to a Civil Court — if necessary after obtaining permission under the

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—5 000—26 6 11

(15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 191

Serial No

Civil Pr Code, Be to take steps to elect a proper man as the Ayya of the Mattha. He will then have a title to the property. The Dy C= may be requested to in- duce the persons interested as devotees to the Mattha (or the Sitha, Yajman & other chief Swachar men of the particular Sect as the last form) to instal a proper man in the Office.

It is worth considering whether any further legis- lative provision may be made in respect of such institutions. The matter may be noted in connection with any Mur. or other Regulation that may be projected hereafter.

22/8/11

NOTE—Contu sheet

GPB—5 000. 26 6 11

G R S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Minute No 76 of 1911

Serial No

Put up draft accepting before the Hon'ble Mr. Abdul Rahim Dewan Bahadur

Abdul Rahim

11. 1

24/8/1911

13 Draft reply to the Dy Com'r and also a draft Endt to the Petn Basappa & others are submitted

Submitted to Dewan Bahadur with the draft

Abdul Rahim

25.8.11

25/8/1911

13245 Draft letter to the Deputy Commissioner approved with an addition — 10 9 11

26.8.11

Submitted to Secretariat Council.

The Dewan says that an endorsement is not necessary and that a copy of this letter may itself be sent to the petitioners

If it is the view that people should know what Govt have not interfered in this case of town vagrancy I suggest I may be permitted to contribute a few paragraphs for such a purpose and put it up and communicate it to all the papers

Abdul Rahim

132

12/9/1911

312. I think the previous obsn in the order of 1903 may be formally overruled by G.P.

Dewan

13/9/11

NOTE—Contn sheet

(PB—5 000 2[illegible]-11)

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 22/1 of 190 (17)

Serial No.

Remitted draft submitted to Secretariat with the first instance for Government sanction thereto and for issue of orders

Attorney General

16/9/54

151 Remitted for orders about the draft

Attorney General

18/9/54

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Memo File No 76 of 1911

Serial No

Seen this draft approved by 2nd Commr Sy 24/9/1911

3 To The Dy Comr Bangalore No 831 & 16.10.1911

Stating that Govt cannot summarily assume possession of the Matt a its property and requesting the Dy Comr to induce the ~~persons~~ devotees to install a proper man as the archa.—

Note—Contin

PB—5 000 26 6 11

(19

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mur File No 76 of 1911

Serial No.	
	No 832 dt 16.10.1911 forwards Copy of the above to pets. Yajaman Basappa & others.

NOTE—Contd. sheet

GPB—1,000—26.6.11

D No 149

76 — 11 — 1

1911-12

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To The Secy: to the Govt
of Mysore
Genl & Rev. Departments

Dated 22nd } Mug. Branch
Despatched 24th } July 1911

Received

General No.

Z C No. 7

Enclosure No

Recommends that a
Jangama Matt, known as
Mahantana Matt of
Chickpet in the City of
Bangalore be brought
under Government
Management -

Submit notes.
25/7/11

26-7-11

P.L.

2)

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.
Dated Bangalore, 22nd July 1911.

M.S. No.----

From

B.J.Kumaraswami Naik, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

To

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Offg: Secretary to the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl and Rev.Deptts.
Muzrai Branch.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for the orders of Government the following report on the affairs of a Jangama Matt, known as Mahantana Matt of Chikpet in the City of Bangalore.

2. The matt is situated in the centre of Chikpet on the main road. Besides the main building of the matt, it consists of 3 shops, 1 coach godown, 1 firewood godown and 1 house and 2 rooms all situated on the road side. It has in addition to these a kandayem garden land bearing survey No.60 measuring 3 acres and 3 -- guntas, assessed at Rs.25/-, in the village of Hanumantapur of the Bangalore Taluk. The matt is not a Muzrai institution.

3. One Kempananjedevaru was the swamigalu of the matt, who, as the manager of the institution was attending to puja, the deeparadhane and the feeding of the Agantakas, meeting the expenses thereof, from the rents realized from the properties of the Matt.

4. The second in succession of Kempananjedevaru was Sivarudradevaru, who being a minor, one Santaveeriah, his natural -- father, had been appointed as his guardian. After the death of Sivarudradevaru, one Veerabhadradevaru who was also a minor suc-

ed him with the said Santaveeriah as his guardian. Ever since

radevaru which occurred about 12 years ago, the

a Guru.

time of the above said two minor Swamigalus,

is also dead, had been managing the

the affairs of the matt under the direction of the Setti and the Yejaman.

6. The deciples of the matt complained to the Amildar of Bangalore Taluk, that the said Santaveeriah and the Setti and the Yejaman had alienated all the properties of the matt, discontinued puja and had brought the matt to a state of dilapidation and prayed that the matt may be taken over under Government management.

7. In the enquiry held by the Amildar, it is ascertained that the 3 shops existing in front of the building are in the possession and enjoyment of one Mitayi Lakshmiah, who states that the late Kempananjedevaru leased out to him these shops permanently for a monthly rent of Rs.9/-, that he was paying the rent to the said Kempananjedevaru and after him to his successors, that the said Santaveeriah has received from him Rs.350/- in advance on account of the rent and that this sum together with certain sums of money advanced by him from time to time to Yejman -- Basappa and others has, according to the accounts maintained by him, came to Rs.797-7-2 which is due to him from the Matt people, that after this amount is adjusted by deduction therefrom of monthly rent, he is willing to continue to pay the stipulated rent to the Pattadadevaru, hereafter to be appointed, or to the Government on behalf ~~on to the behalf~~ of the institution. But he has not produced any accounts except a Jamakarch patti of his own. However, the lease deed produced by the Setti and Yejaman is said to have executed by Mitayi Lakshmiah in the year 1884 in favour of Santaveeriah guardian of the then minor guru. This shows that the stipulated monthly rent for the 3 shops is Rs.11/-, that a sum of Rs.350/- was advanced by Lakskmiah. The terms of the agreement are to deduct Rs.3-8-0 for interest and Rs.7-8-0 towards the adjustment of the principal sum advanced viz.Rs.350/- It is not expressly stated in the bond whether the lease was a permanent one as alleged by Lakshmiah.

8. The coach godown(Gadikhane) which fetches a monthly rent of Rs.6/- is in the possession of one Veerabhadrappa who is willing to give it up gratis, for the benefit of the matt provided

its affairs are managed by Government.

9. Rao Bhadur Gubbi Totadappa(since dead) has also stated that he would quit the firewood godown for the benefit of the matt

10. The occupants of other properties are reported to have no objection to make over them to the matt.

11. The Sattaru Veerabhadrappa Setti and the Yejaman Basappa state that the matt not being a Muzrai institution, the management thereof has been in the hands of the Setties and Yejamans from the very beginning, that they have not alienated any of the properties of the matt, that the said Santaveeriah leased out the shops and the firewood godown. While they admit that they let out the site for coach godown for no consideration, that they have not been collecting the income of the matt, nor maintained any accounts and that the outsiders have occupied the matt premises without their permission, they urge that these are not sufficient grounds for taking away the management from them.

12. The Amildar reports that the Matt is a long standing one and is situated in the centre of the Petta, that it is not expedient to allow the present unsatisfactory state of things to continue any longer, as it would result in the ruin of the Matt itself, and proposes first to bring the matt and its properties under Government management, to make arrangements for the conduct of puja and the appointment of a Guru &c. and then to consider the claims of the alienees and to dispose them of according to the merits of each case.

13. The Sub-Division Officer of Bangalore Sub-Division, who personally inspected the institution states that the matt buildings are in a state of dilapidation, that it is unfortunate that a religious institution in the centre of Bangalore should have been allowed by the public to go to ruins, and that it is a clear case for Government interference and quotes Government order No. 1719-27 dated 24th February 1903, as a distinct authority on the point.

14. From the records it is clear, that the endowments made to the matt by charitably disposed persons have been mismanaged and even misappropriated by private individuals. The matt and

and its properties do not belong to any one person, but to the community. The matt is now without a duly installed Guru or a recognized manager. The Setties and Yejamans who, by virtue of their position in society were, probably by sufferance, -- managing or supervising the affairs of the matt, do not evidently command at present the respect and confidence of the rest of their co-religionists having allowed the matt affairs to be mismanaged and brought the same to its present unsatisfactory condition. Of course, they promise that they would set matters right in course of time, but there is no guarantee or prospect of their so doing.

15. A large number of persons of the Sivachar community are in favour of the matt and its properties being taken under Government management.

16. In the above circumstances, I beg to state that the matt and its properties may be first brought under Government management in pursuance of the marginally noted standing orders on the subject and then vested in a committee of 6 or 8 respectable persons of the community including the Setty and Yejaman with powers to manage the affairs under the general supervision of the Amildar.

1. Chief Commissioner's Notification No.3233-42/Revenue 444 dated 26th September 1879.
2. Government order No.53 dated 11th July 1885.
3. -do- No.1719-27, dated 24/2/1903.

17. The file of vernacular correspondence ending with Bangalore Sub-Division Officer's Yadi No.919 dated the 14th December 1910 is herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner.

DIARY No. 239

10/11/1912

SECTION Mysore

FILE No. 76—11

SERIAL No. 2

Presented in person
Customs note
Submitted
14.8.1911

14.8.11

ಮಾ. ಶಾಲೆಯ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗಾಗಿ ಶ್ರಮಿಸಿದ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಪಾಟೀಲರವರು ಸಮಾಜದ ಎಲ್ಲ ಸಹೃದಯರ ಸಹಕಾರ ಪಡೆದು ಶಾಲೆಯ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗಾಗಿ ಶ್ರಮಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರ ಸೇವೆಯನ್ನು ಗುರುತಿಸಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸನ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಲು ಸಂತೋಷಪಡುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಅವರು ಸಹ ಈ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗಾಗಿ ಶ್ರಮಿಸಿ ಸಹಕರಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಈ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಶಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಓದಿ ಉನ್ನತ ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಹಳೆಯ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಂಪರ್ಕಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಸಹಕಾರ ಪಡೆದು ಶಾಲೆಯ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗಾಗಿ ಶ್ರಮಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಶಾಲೆಯ ಹಳೆಯ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಸಂಘವನ್ನು ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಲು ಸಹ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಈ ಶಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಓದಿ ಉನ್ನತ ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಹಳೆಯ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಶಾಲೆಯ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗಾಗಿ ಸಹಕರಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಶಾಲೆಯ ಹಳೆಯ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಸಂಘವನ್ನು ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಲು ಸಹ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಈ ಶಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಓದಿ ಉನ್ನತ ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಹಳೆಯ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಶಾಲೆಯ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗಾಗಿ ಸಹಕರಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಶಾಲೆಯ ಹಳೆಯ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಸಂಘವನ್ನು ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಲು ಸಹ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

ఈ సంగతులన్నీ మొట్టమొదటి సారి నేను ఎరుగుదునన్నది నిజమే అయినా ఆ సంగతులు నాకు ఎలా తెలిసాయో ఆ విషయం మాత్రం నేను ఎరుగను. ఎందుకంటే ఆ సంగతులు నాకు ఎవరూ చెప్పలేదు. ఆ సంగతులు నాకు ఎవరూ చెప్పకపోయినా నాకు ఆ సంగతులు ఎలా తెలిసాయో నాకు తెలియదు. ఆ సంగతులు నాకు ఎవరూ చెప్పలేదు కాబట్టి నాకు ఆ సంగతులు తెలిసాయని నేను ఎవరికీ చెప్పలేను. ఆ సంగతులు నాకు ఎవరూ చెప్పకపోయినా నాకు ఆ సంగతులు తెలిసాయని నేను ఎవరికీ చెప్పలేను కాబట్టి ఆ సంగతులు నాకు ఎలా తెలిసాయో నాకు తెలియదు. ఆ సంగతులు నాకు ఎవరూ చెప్పలేదు కాబట్టి

B. Pattiswamayya

M. Basappa.



G.R.S. 26] 279

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 831 Enclosure
Mus-9 76-11-3

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 19 .

To

The Dy Comr.
Bangalore Dist

Date of issue 16—10—1911

Signed by Mr A Subbarayappa

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 7 & 22nd July 1911 recommending that the management of the Jangam matt known as Mahantana matt at Chikpet, Bangalore city, may be assumed by Govt, I am directed to state that the matt being a non-mirasi institution, & the Rajas

over.

SUBJECT.

12)

a/il Asst Ambrose

Lands at present, Govt
Council summarily assume
possession of the matt or the
property: and that the Repts
in this case have
be advised to resort to a civil court
if So advised after obtaining
permission under the civil
Pro Code, or to take steps to
elect a proper man as the
agga of the matt.

2) I am desired by the
Govt to induce the persons
interested as devisees of the matt
to nistal a proper man as
the agga.

3) The enclosures to
your letter under reply are
herewith returned.

I have &c

28/2/94

a/c
14/10/11

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

No 832 of 16-2-94

Copy of certificate
regarding Kasappa Pillai's
age for information
with ref: to their
Trans 20 23 1.8.11

GPB—20,000—25 9 10

DIARY No 590

10/11-19/2

SECTION

FILE NO. 76-11

SERIAL

Permission to draw Arms Licence. Issued 17/10/11